

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

MAAT/MUM/JUD/3031 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
P. & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Press Journal Marg,
Nashik Point, Mumbai 400 021.

18 AUG 2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 219 OF 2015 With
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 220 OF 2015.
(Sub :-Appointment (Cancellation).)**

1. Smt. Savita d/o Satyajit Mehta (O.A. No. 219/2015)
R/o.c/o H S Mali 113, A 503, Wing, Near Bhairav Nath Mandir,
Ramkrishna Paradise, Dhayri, Dist. Nashik.
2. Shri. Bhagyoday s/o Rajendra Bhatnagar (O.A. No. 910/2015)
R/o. Jajuwadi Road, Near Madhukar Bridge, Malegaon,
Tal. Malegaon, Dist. Nashik.

...APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

1. The State Of Maharashtra, (Case :- The Secretary, Home Dept., Having
to be served on the C.P.O. MAAT, Government Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
Mumbai).
3. The Transport Commissioner, (Case :- The Secretary, M.P.S.C., Bank of
Office of Commissionerate, Government Bldg., 3rd Floor, M.G.Road,
Maharashtra State, Mumbai. Maharashtra Chowk, Mumbai-01.
Administrative Bldg., 4th Floor,
Govt. Quarters, Bandra (E),
Mumbai-51

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to : The C.P.O. MAAT, Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed the application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 11th day of August, 2016 has made the following order:

APPEARANCE :- None for the Applicant.
Shri. A. J. Choksy, Advocate for the Respondents.

CORAM :- HON'BLE JUSTICE SURESH M. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

DATE :- 11.08.2016.

ORDER :- Order Copy Handled / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Amalal
18/8/2016

Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

.....)

versus

State of Maharashtra and others

.....)

ram,	Tribunal's orders
------	-------------------

Date : 11.08.2016.

O.A.No. 219 of 2015 with O.A.No.220 of 2015



Smt S.S. Mhetre (O.A.No.219 of 2015)
Shri B.R. Battise (O.A.No.220 of 2015) ...Applicants

Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. It is seen that none appeared for the Applicant on earlier 3 dates.

3. Application is dismissed for want of prosecution.



 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman

a)
A
sba
pplicant

TRUE COPY


 18/8/2016
 Asst. Registrar/Research Officer
 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
 Mumbai.